Tipo	Periódico
Título	Comparison of methods for controlling acquiescence bias in balanced and unbalanced scales
Autores	Martins, Gustavo Henrique;Valentini, Felipe;Bonfá-Araujo, Bruno;Ferraz, Adriana Satico;Otoni, Fernanda;Gonçalves, André Pereira;
Autor(es) USF	
Autores Internacionais	
Programa Curso(s)	Programa de Pós Graduação Stricto Sensu em Psicologia
DOI	10.1590/1413-82712023280401
Assunto (palavras chaves)	Management Science and Operations Research
Idioma	Inglês
Fonte	Título do periódico: Psico-USF ISSN: : 2175-3563 Volume/Número/Paginação/Ano: 28 651-667 2024
Data da publicação	12-1-2024
Formato da Produção	Digital
Resumo	Controlling acquiescence bias typically involves the application of positive and negative keyed items. However, little is known about the effect of balancing positive and negative items on bias control. The aim of this study was to compare three Confirmatory Factor Analysis models (without control, MIMIC, and Random Intercept) to recover the factor structure of unbalanced and balanced instruments, using

	simulated and real data (from an instrument that assesses Personality). By controlling for acquiescence, the results indicated that the performance of balanced scales was better than that of unbalanced scales, as well as in the absence of control for response bias, when considering balanced and unbalanced scales. Thus, this research suggests the possibility of controlling acquiescence through balanced instruments associated with the use of statistical methods in modeling.
Fomento	